

## **2016 AUPRF Website Voting Instructions**

1-5 star rating system, 1 star is lowest 5 is highest ranking.

Use the following simple matrix for review of the applications.

1. Project scope/goal clearly defined – Is the project scope/goal clearly defined and adequately addressing CAPP's current knowledge gaps/policy issues? (20%)
2. Project cost appropriate for deliverable/work scope. (Is the funding amount requested reasonable for the tasks outlined in the proposal? Is there other leveraged funding? (20%)
3. Experience/performance history of researcher (10%)
4. Relevance to industry – Does proposal apply broadly to most CAPP members from a regional perspective – is it applicable to Alberta conventional oil and gas activities? (30%)
5. Urgency – Does this project address current industry needs? Is it a continuation of a current project? (20%)
6. Comments: Is there obvious strength or weakness to the proposal? Any questions for proposal writer? Is this project fully funded?

As the voting happens the main summary page will calculate the rankings. This will provide us with preliminary ranking of detailed proposals for further discussion at the next committee meeting.